

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,473	•	01/21/2000	Kazuhisa Matsuda	NISS-049	5891
20374	7590	09/17/2002			
KUBOVCI	K & KU	BOVCIK	EXAMINER		
SUITE 710 900 17TH S			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C		
WASHING	ron, dc	20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	11
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS-11
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	- / (- ()
Advisory Action	09/489,473	KAZUHISA MATSUDA	
rance, y neuen	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Christopher C. Pratt	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cever sheet with the c	rrespondence address	S
THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to n places the application	a ı in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutory per	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The appropria priginally set in the final Office	e MPEP ate extension ate extension be action; or
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpli	fying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection 	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amo	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT pl	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were ne	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			
. Patent and Trademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant repeats the argument promulgated in the previous response that Light requires the nonwoven layer to be composed of only synthetic materials. In support of this argument, applicant recites Lights teaching that the nonwoven layer may be synthetic. The examiner agrees that Light provides for embodiments wherein the nonwoven layer may be synthetic; however, maintains the position that Light does not require said nonwoven layer to be synthetic. The examiner's position is drawn directly from Lights teachings. As set forth in the previous action, Light clearly teaches that the nonwoven layer can be made from the same material as the film (col. 4, lines 64-66). The film is made of collagen. Also set forth in the previous action, Light describes several materials, which may form said fibers (col. 3, lines 1-11). This passage does not state that the material must be synthetic. Moreover, it specifically discloses regenerated cellulose, which is a semi-synthetic material.

Light also leads the skilled artisan to choose collogen fibers by teaching that such fibers have "well known wound-healing properties (col. 2, lines 26-34)" and provide "high-strength (col. 1, lines 58-60)." Finally, the examiner notes that Light provides absolutely no support for applicant's interpretation that said nonwoven layer must consist of synthetic materials.

Applicant argues that col. 2, lines 48-50 teaches that the nonwoven layer must consist of synthetic material. This passage state that the nonwoven layer may be synthetic, but does not state that said nonwoven must be synthetic or can only be synthetic. Applicant argues that Light does not teach a gelatin or hyaluronic acid coating. Light teaches this limitation in col. 3, lines 25-50).

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700